Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/010,587	WOLFE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment</u>		
(1) <u>BARBARA N. BURGESS</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Timothy Shay</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 November 2009	Time: Morning	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 10, 19, 25, 26, 32, 33, 39		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Timothy Shay to discuss amendments to the claims to place the application in condition for allowance. Timothy Shay agreed for Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment as submitted herewith to amend claims, avoiding 101 issues..